







# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARCS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/091,627	03/06/2002	Dan Yang	609920-600-025	1956	
7	590 03/19/2003				
Stephen D. Scanlon			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue			BADIO, BA	BADIO, BARBARA P	
North Point					
901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cieveland, On	77117		1676		
•		·	DATE MAILED: 03/19/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-326 (Re	<u>-</u>	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			
2) Notic 3) Inform U.S. Patent and To	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) rademark Office	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
Attachment		, 23				
	)					
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•			
	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not rec	ceived.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<del></del>			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
-/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
		n nrinrity under 35 H S C & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)			
·	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) 🗀 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
ן יין	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		рргочей ву ите Ехатипег.			
11107	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on	- · ·				
10)∐ <sup>·</sup>	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and acception are also acception as a second acception are also acception as a second acception are also acception as a second acception acception as a second acception acce	•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		,			
	on Papers					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are rejected.		•			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application	1.				
Dispositi	on of Claims	Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	ITH(S) FROM			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
		Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.	1616			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
• •	<b>Y</b> ,	10/091,627	YANG ET AL.			
3, 3	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			

Art Unit: 1616

### **First Office Action on the Merits**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The language of the instant claims create confusion because (a) the recitation of various definitions for similar R groups and "n", for example, R, in claim 1, has more than one definition; (b) period(s) in the middle of the claims (see for example, claims 1, 27 and 35) and (c) "m" is not define by the instant claims (see for example, claims 13 and 33). Thus, the ordinary artisan in the art would be unable to determine the metes and bound of the claimed invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,627

Art Unit: 1616

4. Claims 1-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bovicelli et al., Cicala et al., Holland et al. and Yang et al. (J. Org. Chem., 1998 or US Patent No. 5,763,623) in combination.

The claimed invention is a process for the production of predominantly 5,6-epoxides from the corresponding 5-ene steroid derivative in the presence of a ketone and an oxidant or the intermediate product thereof, i.e. a dioxirane.

Bovicelli et al. teach the epoxidatioin of various unsaturated steroidal compounds, including  $3\beta$ -hydroxy-5,16-pregnadien-20-one acetate, utilizing diexiranes produced in situ or in isolated form (see the entire article, especially page 2182, Scheme I, compound 4; page 2183, col. 1,  $2^{nd}$  paragraph). The reference teaches the production of a 3:2 ratio mixture of  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ -epoxides (see especially page 2184, col. 2,  $1^{st}$  paragraph).

Cicala et al. teach the epoxidation of various olefinic compounds, including 4β-hydroxycholestreol, utilizing dioxiranes generated in the reaction of potassium caroate with acetone (see the entire article, especially col. 2671, compound 4; col. 1, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph).

Holland et al. teach the epoxidation of epicholesterol and the production of a mixture comprising  $3\alpha$ -hydroxy- $5\beta$ , $6\beta$ -epoxycholestane (see the entire article, especially page 3135, Scheme I).

Yang et al. (J. Org. Chem. 1998) teach various ketone catalysts useful in the epoxidation of olefins with oxone (see the entire article, especially Abstract; page 8953, col. 1, Chart 1; page 8954, Tables 1 and 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/091,627

Art Unit: 1616

Yang et al. ('623) teach the use of various ketones in the catalytic epoxidation of olefinic compounds (see the entire article, especially col. 1, lines 8-65). The reference teaches (a) the epoxidation of any olefin (see col. 1, lines 29-34; 59-64; col. 10, lines 5-20) and (b) the use of solvent systems such as acetonitrile-water and tetrahydrofuranwater; buffers such as sodium bicarbonate; oxidants such as oxone and potassium peroxomonosulfate; temperature ranging from 0° to 40°C and pH ranging from 7 to 8 (see col. 4, lines 10-23; col. 10, lines 15-20).

Based on the combined teachings of the above-cited references and the level of skill of the ordinary artisan in the art at the time of the invention, the utilization of a dioxirane derivative, produced in situ or in isolated form, in the epoxidation of olefinic compounds would have been obvious. The skilled artisan in the art at the time of the invention would have the reasonable expectation that (a) any 5-ene steroid derivative, including 3α-substituted-5-ene isomers, would undergo epoxidation with the production of the corresponding 5,6-epoxy compound(s) (see Bovicelli et al., Cicala et al. and Holland et al.) and (b) dioxirane derivatives are produced in situ by the reaction of a ketone and an oxidant such as peroxomonosulfate (see especially Cicala et al., pages 2670, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph – page 2671, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph; Yang et al., J. Org. Chem., page 8952, Introduction). The motivation to utilize various ketones and/or dioxirane derivatives would be based on the desire to obtain catalyst(s) having optimum epoxidation properties.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,627

Art Unit: 1616

#### Other Matters

The data presented in the present specification is noted. However, the data 5. therein does not commensurate in scope with the claimed invention. In addition, as indicated above, the reaction condition would be expected by the ordinary artisan to influence the yield and the isomeric form of the end product and it is within the level of skilled of said artisan to find the optimum condition that would lead to the production of predominantly 5β,6β-epoxy steroid derivatives.

### Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-4595. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 703-308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1616

BB

March 17, 2003